Synthesis of Heteroarylated Polyfluorobiphenyls via Palladium-Catalyzed Sequential sp² C−H Bonds Functionalizations

Tao Yan, Lu Chen, Christian Bruneau, Pierre H. Dixneuf, and Henri Doucet*

Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes, UMR 6226 CNRS-Universitéde Rennes "Centre of [C](#page-6-0)atalysis and Green Chemistry", Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes, France

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The higher reactivity of C5−H bonds of heteroarenes as compared to C−H bonds of bromopolyfluorobenzenes for palladium-catalyzed direct arylation allows the selective synthesis of the polyfluoroaryl-heteroarenes in moderate to high yields, without C−H bond functionalization of the polyfluorobenzene ring. In most cases, low loading of Pd(OAc)₂ catalyst (0.5−1 mol %) was employed. Then, from these heteroarylated polyfluorobenzenes, the palladium-catalyzed C−H bond functionalization of the polyfluorobenzene ring allows the synthesis of heteroarylated polyfluorobiphenyls.

The palladium-catalyzed direct arylation of several (hetero) aromatics via direct C−H bond activation using aryl halides has brought a synthesis revolution in recent years.^{1−3} Such couplings are very attractive to replace classical palladiumcatalyzed reactions such as Stille, Suzuki or Negishi coupling[s](#page-6-0) [as](#page-6-0) they do not require the preliminary synthesis of one or two organometallic derivatives.¹ Several bioactive compounds contain a (poly)fluorobenzene motif. For example, Atorvastatin and Fluvastatin are used [f](#page-6-0)or lowering blood cholesterol, Rufinamide is an anticonvulsant, and Robanacoxib is a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (Figure 1). Therefore, the discovery of general simple routes to functionalize (poly)fluorobenzenes has potential for medic[in](#page-1-0)al chemistry. More importantly, the tetrafluorobenzene unit also has potential for the production of molecular materials for optics.⁴

Several examples of palladium-catalyzed direct arylation of heteroaromatics with aryl halides have been reported.¹ Fagnou and co-workers and other groups have already reported examples of palladium-catalyzed direct arylations of polyfl[uor](#page-6-0)obenzenes5−⁹ with aryl halides. They observed that C−H bonds bearing two fluoro substituents at the ortho position in pentafluor[o](#page-6-0)b[e](#page-6-0)nzene, tetrafluorobenzenes or even 1,3,5-trifluorobenzene could be arylated with aryl halides (Scheme 1, top).5a−^c In 2012, Zhang and co-workers have reported the coupling of 3-bromo-1,2,4,5-tetrafluorobenzene with iodoa[ni](#page-1-0)sole [to](#page-6-0) [gi](#page-6-0)ve the 4-bromo-2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4′-methoxybiphenyl using 5 mol % of Pd $(OAc)_2$ associated to 10 mol % of PPh₃ as catalyst (Scheme 1, middle).¹⁰ In the course of this reaction, no cleavage of the C−Br bond of the tetrafluorobenzene derivative was obse[rve](#page-1-0)d.

On the other hand, to our knowledge, the selective direct heteroarylation of tri- or tetra-fluorobromobenzenes without C−H bond activation of the polyfluorobenzene moiety has not been described (Scheme 1, bottom). The discovery of an effective method for the sequential functionalization of C−H and C−Br bonds of bro[mo](#page-1-0)polyfluorobenzenes with heteroarenes, especially under low catalyst loading conditions, would be a considerable advantage for simpler access to fluorocontaining poly(hetero)aryls.

Here, we wish to report (i) the efficient palladium-catalyzed heteroarylation of bromopolyfluorobenzenes, without C−H bond activation of the fluorobenzenes moiety, with a wide variety of heteroarenes using low loadings of a phosphine-free palladium catalyst; (ii) the subsequent reactivity of these formed heteroarylated polyfluorobiphenyls for palladiumcatalyzed C−H bond functionalization at the polyfluorobenzene ring to produce heteroarylated polyfluorobiphenyls.

As the free energies of activation for direct arylation via concerted metalation deprotonation $(CMD)^{11,12}$ pathway calculated by Fagnou and co-workers for 1,3-difluorobenzene or pentafluorobenzene are quite similar to t[hose](#page-6-0) of furan, thiophene and thiazole, the outcome of the reaction of bromopolyfluorobenzenes with heteroarenes in the presence of palladium catalysts was quite unpredictable and needed to be investigated (Figure 2).

2-Methyl-2-thiophen-2-yl-[1,3]dioxolane and 3-bromo-1,2,4,5-tetrafluorobe[nz](#page-1-0)ene were employed as model substrates

Received: February 7, 2013 Published: February 27, 2013

Figure 1. Examples of bioactive fluorobenzene derivatives.

Figure 2. Free energy of activation $(\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{\ 298K}$, kcal mol $^{-1})$ for direct arylation via the CMD pathway involving an acetate ligand with the $[Pd(C_6H_5)(PMe_3)(OAc)]$ catalyst.¹¹

for our study (Scheme 2, Tabl[e](#page-6-0) 1). We initially examined the influence of the nature of the base on aryl bromide conversion

for this reaction using DMAc as the solvent and 0.5 mol % $Pd(OAc)_2$ catalyst. We had previously observed that these reaction conditions allowed the coupling of several heteroaromatics with aryl bromides.^{2f} K_2CO_3 or Cs_2CO_3 gave low conversion of 3-bromo-1,2,4,5-tetrafluorobenzene (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). On the other [h](#page-6-0)and, in the presence of acetate salts, NaOAc, KOAc or CsOAc as the base, high conversions of 3-bromo-1,2,4,5-tetrafluorobenzene were observed, and the

Table 1. Influence of Reaction Conditions on the 5-Arylation of 2-Methyl-2-thiophen-2-yl-[1,3]dioxolane with 3-Bromo-1,2,4,5-tetrafluorobenzene (Scheme $2)^a$

entry	solvent	base	temp $(^{\circ}C)$		conv $(\%)$ yield in 1a $(\%)$
1	DMAc	K_2CO_3	150	10	
2	DMAc	Cs_2CO_3	150	2	
3	DMAc	NaOAc	150	96	65
4	DMAc	KOAc	150	84	74
5	DMAc	CsOAc	150	98	60
6	DMF	KOAc	150	98	40^b

^aConditions: $Pd(OAc)_{2}$ (0.005 equiv), 3-bromo-1,2,4,5-tetrafluorobenzene (1 equiv), 2-methyl-2-thiophen-2-yl-[1,3]dioxolane (1.5 equiv), base (2 equiv), 16 h, conversion of 3-bromo-1,2,4,5 t etrafluorobenzene, isolated yields. b Formation of unidentified sideproducts was also observed.

coupling product 1a, retaining the C−H bond of fluorinated aryl group, was isolated in 60−74% yields (Table 1, entries 3− 5). In all cases, no formation of product 1b was detected. Thus these experiments show that the activation of the thienyl C5−H bond is easier than that of the C6−H bond of 3-bromo-1,2,4,5 tetrafluorobenzene. The good performance of acetate as the base/ligand is consistent with the CMD pathway.¹²

Then, 3-bromo-1,2,4,5-tetrafluorobenzene was coupled with a set of heteroarenes in the presence of 0.5[−](#page-6-0)1 mol % $Pd(OAc)₂$, KOAc as the base in DMAc (Scheme 3). Selective

Scheme 3. Heteroarylation of 3-Bromo-1,2,4,5 tetrafluorobenzene

5-arylations of the heteroarenes were observed using 2 methylthiophene and ethyl thiophene-2-carboxylate to give 2 and 3 in 62 and 60% yields, respectively. Good yields were also obtained for the coupling of 2-isopropyl-4-methylthiazole or 2 ethyl-4-methylthiazole with 3-bromo-1,2,4,5-tetrafluorobenzene to give 4 and 5 in 61 and 66% yield, respectively. It should be noted that 2-n-butylfuran could also be employed to give 6 in 72% yield. However, 2 mol % of the more stable and more electron-rich catalyst $PdCl(C₃H₅)(dppb)^{13b}$ had to be employed to obtain a high conversion of the aryl bromide.

On the other hand, quite good yields in 7 and 8 were obtained from 2-furylmethyl acetate or 2-diethoxymethylfuran using 0.5 mol % $Pd(OAc)_2$ catalyst. In all cases, no formation of 1b was detected by GC−MS analysis of the crude mixture.

The diheteroarylation of 1,4-dibromo-2,3,5,6-tetrafluorobenzene using 2-methyl-2-thiophen-2-yl-[1,3]dioxolane as the coupling partner was then examined (Scheme 4). The desired

Scheme 4. Diheteroarylation of 1,4-Dibromo-2,3,5,6 tetrafluorobenzene

product 9 was obtained in 81% yield using 1 mol % $Pd(OAc)_2$ catalyst. This method gives a simple access to compounds displaying useful *n*-channel semiconductor properties.⁴⁶

The reactivity of 1-bromo-2,3,5-trifluorobenzene was then evaluated for C2 heteroarylation (Scheme 5). This re[age](#page-6-0)nt was

Scheme 5. Heteroarylation of 1-Bromo-2,3,5 trifluorobenzene

successfully coupled with 2-methyl-2-thiophen-2-yl-[1,3] dioxolane, 2-methylthiophene or 2-ethyl-4-methylthiazole to give the desired products 10−12 in 70−78% yields. Slower reactions and lower yields were observed in the presence of 2-nbutylfuran or acetic acid furan-2-ylmethyl ester as coupling partners to give 13 and 14 in 51 and 60% yields, respectively.

The coupling of 2-bromo-1,3,5-trifluorobenzene with 2 methyl-2-thiophen-2-yl-[1,3]dioxolane was then studied (Scheme 6). In the presence of 0.5 mol % $Pd(OAc)₂$, 15 was obtained in 69% yield. Again, under these reaction conditions, the two C−H bonds of this trifluorobenzene derivative were found to be unreactive.

Scheme 6. Heteroarylation of 2-Bromo-1,3,5 trifluorobenzene

Scheme 7. Heteroarylation of 1-Bromo-3,5-difluorobenzene

substrate with 2-phenylimidazolopyrimidine has already been reported using 8 mol % Pd(OAc)₂ associated to 16 mol % PPh₃ as catalyst.¹³ Using again 0.5 mol % $Pd(OAc)$ ₂ and KOAc in DMAc, the desired coupling products 16 and 17 were obtained in 69 an[d](#page-6-0) 80% yields from 2-methyl-2-thiophen-2-yl-[1,3] dioxolane and 2-ethyl-4-methylthiazole as the coupling partners.

Then, the reactivity of the polyfluorobenzene moiety of some of the previously obtained heteroarylated polyfluorobenzene derivatives was evaluated for arylation via C−H bond activation (Scheme 8). 2-Methyl-2-[5-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-thio-

Scheme 8. 4-Arylation of 1-Heteroaryl-2,3,5,6 tetrafluorobenzenes

phen-2-yl]-[1,3]dioxolane 1a in the presence of 4-bromobenzaldehyde and 2 mol % $PdCl(C_3H_5)(dppb)$ catalyst gave the desired coupling product 18 in 76% yield. It should be noted that the use of 0.5 mol % $Pd(OAc)$ catalyst for this reaction led to a very low yield in 18. A similar reactivity was observed with 3-bromoacetophenone or 3-bromopyridine to give 19 and 20 in 75 and 66% yields. Then, 2-ethyl-4-methyl-5-(2,3,5,6 tetrafluorophenyl)-thiazole 5 was reacted with ethyl 4 bromobenzoate and 3-bromobenzonitrile. The desired products 21 and 22 were isolated in 80 and 72% yields. Finally, 23 and 24 were obtained in 66 and 71% yields from 2-n-butyl-5- (2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-furan 6 and 2-bromonaphthalene or 3-bromonitrobenzene as the coupling partners. This coupling arylation of fluorinated arene C−H bonds following the crosscoupling of heterocycles C−H bonds offers a unique access to a variety of heteroaryl−polyfluoroaryl−aryl derivatives.

For the couplings with 1-thiazolyl-2,3,5-trifluorobenzene 10, both positions C4 and C6 on the benzene moiety might have been arylated (Scheme 9). However, with both 4-bromoben-

Scheme 9. Regioselective 4-Arylation of 1-Thienyl-2,3,5 trifluorobenzene

zaldehyde and 3-bromopyridine only the arylation at C4 to give 25 and 26 in 81 and 55% yields was observed. This is certainly due to the higher acidity of C4 position.

So far, very few examples of palladium-catalyzed direct arylations at C2 of 1,3-difluorobenzene derivatives have been reported.^{5a} However, we found that the catalytic arylation of the difluorobenzene ring of 17 also proceeded nicely with various a[ry](#page-6-0)l bromides to give compounds 27−29 in good yields (Scheme 10). In all cases, a regioselective arylation at the C−H

Scheme 10. Regioselective 4-Arylation of 1-Thiazolyl-3,5 difluorobenzene

adjacent to the two C−F was observed. It should be noted that from 4-chlorobromobenzene, no cleavage of the C−Cl bond was observed to give 28 in 77% yield allowing further transformations.

In summary, we have demonstrated that C−H bonds of several heteroarenes are more reactive than the C−H bonds of bromo di-, tri- and tetra-fluorobenzenes toward palladiumcatalyzed direct arylation. The selective access to new heteroarylated polyfluorobenzenes from bromopolyfluorobenzenes and heteroarenes using only 0.5 mol % of $Pd(OAc)$ ₂ as the catalyst precursor is reported. The acidity of the C−H bonds adjacent to two ortho C−F bonds of arenes has been profitably used for their further palladium-catalyzed functionalization. This strategy allows straightforward synthesis of heteroarylated polyfluorobiphenyls in good yields via two sequential successive catalytic direct arylations.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedure for Palladium-Catalyzed Direct Arylations of Bromopolyfluorobenzenes. The reaction of the aryl bromide (1 mmol), heteroarene (1.5 mmol) and KOAc (0.196 g, 2 mmol) at 150 °C during 16 h in DMAc (4 mL) in the presence of $Pd(OAc)_2$ (1.12 mg, 0.005 mmol) or (2.24 mg, 0.01 mmol) (see schemes) under argon affords the coupling products 1−8 and 10−17 after evaporation of the solvent and purification on silica gel. Eluent pentane:diethylether 9:1 for compounds 1−5, 7, 8, 10, 12−17; pentane:diethylether 4:1 for compound 6; pentane for compound 11.

2-Methyl-2-[5-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-thiophen-2-yl]- [1,3]dioxolane (1a). 3-Bromo-1,2,4,5-tetrafluorobenzene (0.229 g, 1 mmol) and 2-methyl-2-thiophen-2-yl-[1,3]dioxolane (0.340 g, 2 mmol) afford 1a in 74% (0.235 g) yield as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (tt, J = 9.5, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.12–3.97 (m, 4H), 1.82 (s, 3H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.6 (t, J = 3.6 Hz), 146.1 (dm, J = 247.0 Hz), 143.4 (dm, J = 249.8 Hz), 130.5 (t, J = 6.0 Hz), 127.2 (m), 124.3, 115.1 (m), 107.1, 104.0 (t, J = 22.9 Hz), 65.1, 27.6. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{14}H_{10}F_{4}O_2S$ (318.29): C 52.83, H 3.17. Found: C 53.02, H 3.30.

2-Methyl-5-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-thiophene (2). 3- Bromo-1,2,4,5-tetrafluorobenzene (0.229 g, 1 mmol) and 2-methylthiophene (0.196 g, 2 mmol) afford 2 in 62% (0.152 g) yield as an amorphous white solid: mp 43–45 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.41 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (tt, J = 9.5, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.2 (dm, $J = 246.8$ Hz), 143.4 (dm, $J = 249.8$ Hz), 143.3 (t, $J = 4.0$ Hz), 130.6 $(t, J = 6.0 \text{ Hz})$, 125.7, 125.2 (m), 115.4 (m), 103.4 (t, $J = 22.9 \text{ Hz}$), 15.1. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{11}H_6F_4S$ (246.22): C 53.66, H 2.46. Found: C 53.50, H 2.59.

Ethyl 5-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-thiophene-2-carboxylate (3). 3-Bromo-1,2,4,5-tetrafluorobenzene (0.229 g, 1 mmol) and ethyl thiophene-2-carboxylate $(0.312 \text{ g}, 2 \text{ mmol})$ afford 3 in 60% (0.182 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 82−84 °C; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (tt, $J = 9.5$, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (q, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 1.40 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 161.8, 146.4 (dm, J = 246.8) Hz), 143.6 (dm, J = 249.8 Hz), 136.0 (t, J = 4.0 Hz), 133.8 (m), 132.9, 114.3 (m), 130.6 (t, $J = 5.9$ Hz), 105.3 (t, $J = 22.9$ Hz), 61.5, 14.3. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{13}H_8F_4O_2S$ (304.26): C 51.32, H 2.65. Found: C 51.49, H 2.36.

2-Isopropyl-4-methyl-5-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-thiazole (4). 3-Bromo-1,2,4,5-tetrafluorobenzene (0.229 g, 1 mmol) and 2 isopropyl-4-methylthiazole (0.282 g, 2 mmol) afford 4 in 61% (0.176 g) yield as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.10 (tt, J = 9.5, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (sept., $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 1.43 (d, $J =$ 7.5 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.2, 152.5, 146.3 (dm, J = 246.8 Hz), 143.7 (dm, J = 249.8 Hz), 113.6, 113.0 (m), 106.1 (tm, $J = 22.7$ Hz), 33.4, 23.0, 16.1. Elemental analysis calcd $(\%)$ for $C_{13}H_{11}F_{4}NS$ (289.29): C 53.97, H 3.83. Found: C 53.80, H 3.58.

2-Ethyl-4-methyl-5-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-thiazole (5). 3-Bromo-1,2,4,5-tetrafluorobenzene (0.229 g, 1 mmol) and 2-ethyl-4-methylthiazole $(0.254 \text{ g}, 2 \text{ mmol})$ afford $5 \text{ in } 66\%$ (0.181 g) yield as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.11 (tt, J = 9.5, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.05 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.42 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.4, 152.5, 146.3 (dm, J = 246.8 Hz), 143.7 (dm, $J = 249.8$ Hz), 114.0, 112.8 (m), 106.2 (tm, $J = 22.7$ Hz), 26.9, 16.0, 14.0. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{12}H_9F_4NS$ (275.27): C 52.36, H 3.30. Found: C 52.48, H 3.17.

2-n-Butyl-5-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-furan (6). 3-Bromo-1,2,4,5-tetrafluorobenzene (0.229 g, 1 mmol) and 2-n-butylfuran $(0.258 \text{ g}, 2 \text{ mmol})$ afford 6 in 72% (0.196 g) yield as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.95 (tt, J = 9.5, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 6.17 (d, $J = 3.1$ Hz, 1H), 2.72 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 1.69 (quint., $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 1.42 (sext., $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 0.95 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.6, 146.3 (dm, J = 246.8) Hz), 143.7 (dm, $J = 249.8$ Hz), 140.0 (m), 115.0 (t, $J = 6.5$ H 112.0 (m), 107.0, 103.2 (t, J = 22.7 Hz), 29.9, 27.8, 22.2, 13.8. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{14}H_{12}F_4O$ (272.24): C 61.77, H 4.44. Found: C 61.78, H 4.59.

Acetic acid 5-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-furan-2-ylmethyl ester (7). 3-Bromo-1,2,4,5-tetrafluorobenzene (0.229 g, 1 mmol) and acetic acid furan-2-ylmethyl ester (0.280 g, 2 mmol) afford 7 in 70% (0.201 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 55−57 °C; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.02 (tt, J = 9.5, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (s, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.5, 151.0, 146.4 (dm, J = 246.8 Hz), 143.0 (dm, $J = 249.8$ Hz), 142.7 (t, $J = 3.0$ Hz), 114.8 (t, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 112.2, 111.4 (m), 104.4 (t, $J = 22.7$ Hz), 57.9, 20.8. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{13}H_8F_4O_3$ (288.19): C 54.18, H 2.80. Found: C 54.25, H 2.99.

2-Diethoxymethyl-5-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-furan (8). 3- Bromo-1,2,4,5-tetrafluorobenzene (0.229 g, 1 mmol) and 2-diethoxymethylfuran (0.340 g, 2 mmol) afford 8 in 53% (0.168 g) yield as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.00 (tt, J = 9.5, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 5.61 (s, 1H), 3.75−3.60 (m, 4H), 1.25 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.7, 146.4 (dm, J = 246.8 Hz), 142.9 (dm, J = 249.8 Hz), 141.8 (m), 114.5 (t, J = 6.3 Hz), 111.4 (m), 109.7, 104.1 (t, J = 22.7 Hz), 96.2, 61.6, 15.1. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{15}H_{14}F_{4}O_{3}$ (318.26): C 56.61, H 4.43. Found: C 56.80, H 4.32.

1,4-Di(2-methyl-2-thiophen-2-yl-[1,3]dioxolane)-2,3,5,6-tetrafluorobenzene (9). 1,4-Dibromo-2,3,5,6-tetrafluorobenzene (0.308 g, 1 mmol), 2-methyl-2-thiophen-2-yl-[1,3]dioxolane (0.680 g, 4 mmol) and KOAc (0.392 g, 4 mmol) at 150 °C during 16 h in DMAc (4 mL) in the presence of Pd (OAc) ₂ (2.24 mg, 0.01 mmol) afford 9 in 81% (0.394 g) yield after evaporation of the solvent and crystallization in dichloromethane/diethyl ether as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 143−145 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.51 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 2H), 4.15−3.95 (m, 8H), 1.82 (s, 6H); 13C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 150.5, 143.8 (dm, J = 247.0 Hz), 130.3, 127.4, 124.4, 112.4 (m), 107.1, 65.1, 27.6. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{22}H_{18}F_{4}O_{4}S_{2}$ (486.50): C 54.31, H 3.73. Found: C 54.50, H 3.64.

2-Methyl-2-[5-(2,3,5-trifluorophenyl)-thiophen-2-yl]-[1,3] **dioxolane (10).** 1-Bromo-2,3,5-trifluorobenzene $(0.211 \text{ g}, 1 \text{ mmol})$ and 2-methyl-2-thiophen-2-yl-[1,3]dioxolane (0.340 g, 2 mmol) afford 10 in 78% (0.234 g) yield as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10−7.08 (m, 1H), 7.05 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 6.88−6.77 (m, 1H), 4.12−3.97 (m, 4H), 1.81 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.5 (dd, J = 244.8, 11.1 Hz), 151.0 (dt, $J = 250.9, 13.6$ Hz), 149.3 (d, $J = 3.5$ Hz), 144.0 (dd, $J = 248.0, 11.1$ Hz), 134.4 (m), 127.5 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 124.9, 124.7 (t, $J = 11.2$ Hz), 109.3 (d, J = 25.1 Hz), 107.0, 103.7 (dd, J = 27.7, 21.4 Hz), 65.0, 27.5. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{14}H_{11}F_3O_2S$ (300.30): C 55.99, H 3.69. Found: C 56.19, H 3.48.

2-Methyl-5-(2,3,5-trifluorophenyl)-thiophene (11). 1-Bromo-2,3,5-trifluorobenzene (0.211 g, 1 mmol) and 2-methylthiophene $(0.196 \text{ g}, 2 \text{ mmol})$ afford 11 in 75% (0.171 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 43–45 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.30 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.06−7.00 (m, 1H), 6.83−6.72 (m, 2H), 2.53 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.4 (dd, J = 244.8, 11.1 Hz), 151.0 $(dt, J = 250.9, 13.6 Hz)$, 144.0 $(dd, J = 248.0, 11.1 Hz)$, 142.0 $(d, J = 142.0)$ 4.1 Hz), 132.6 (m), 127.7 (d, J = 7.1 Hz), 126.3, 125.0 (t, J = 11.2 Hz), 109.0 (d, J = 25.1 Hz), 103.1 (dd, J = 27.7, 21.4 Hz), 15.2. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{11}H_7F_3S$ (228.23): C 57.89, H 3.09. Found: C 57.90, H 3.30.

2-Ethyl-4-methyl-5-(2,3,5-trifluorophenyl)-thiazole (12). 1- Bromo-2,3,5-trifluorobenzene (0.211 g, 1 mmol) and 2-ethyl-4 methylthiazole (0.254 g, 2 mmol) afford 12 in 70% (0.180 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 44−46 °C; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.00–6.90 (m, 1H), 6.90–6.82 (m, 1H), 3.03 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 1.40 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.7, 157.2 (dd, J = 244.8, 11.1 Hz), 150.7 (dt, J = 250.9, 13.6 Hz), 150.5, 144.4 (dd, J = 248.0, 11.1 Hz), 122.9 (m), 121.2, 113.1 (d, J = 24.5 Hz), 105.1 (dd, J = 27.7, 21.4 Hz), 26.9, 16.1, 14.1. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{12}H_{10}F_3NS$ (257.28): C 56.02, H 3.92. Found: C 56.17, H 4.08.

2-n-Butyl-5-(2,3,5-trifluorophenyl)-furan (13). 1-Bromo-2,3,5 trifluorobenzene (0.211 g, 1 mmol) and 2-n-butylfuran (0.258 g, 2 mmol) afford 13 in 51% (0.129 g) yield as a yellow oil: $^1\rm H$ NMR (300 MHz, Acetone- d_6) δ 7.35–7.30 (m, 1H), 7.15–7.05 (m, 1H), 6.88 (d, $J = 3.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.30 (d, $J = 3.1$ Hz, 1H), 2.74 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 1.68 (quint., $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 1.42 (sext., $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 0.95 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.8 (dd, J = 244.8, 11.1 Hz), 157.6, 150.7 (dt, J = 250.9, 13.6 Hz), 144.4 (m), 143.0 (dm, J = 247.8, Hz), 121.7 (t, J = 10.6 Hz), 112.9 (d, J = 12.1 Hz), 107.7, 106.5 $(d, J = 26.2 \text{ Hz})$, 102.6 $(dd, J = 27.9, 21.4 \text{ Hz})$, 30.1, 27.7, 22.2, 13.8. Elemental analysis calcd $(\%)$ for C₁₄H₁₃F₃O (254.25): C 66.14, H 5.15. Found: C 66.02, H 5.24.

Acetic acid 5-(2,3,5-trifluorophenyl)-furan-2-ylmethyl ester (14). 1-Bromo-2,3,5-trifluorobenzene (0.211 g, 1 mmol) and acetic acid furan-2-ylmethyl ester (0.280 g, 2 mmol) afford 14 in 60% (0.162 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 41−44 °C; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35–7.30 (m, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 6.88– 6.77 (m, 1H), 6.55 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (s, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.5, 157.7 (dd, J = 244.8, 11.1 Hz), 150.7 (dt, J = 250.9, 13.6 Hz), 150.0, 146.7 (m), 120.9 (m), 113.1, 112.7 (d, J = 12.3 Hz), 107.1 (d, J = 27.7 Hz), 103.8 (dd, J = 27.8, 21.3 Hz), 57.8, 20.8. Elemental analysis calcd $(\%)$ for $C_{13}H_9F_3O_3$ (270.20): C 57.79, H 3.36. Found: C 57.70, H 3.40.

2-Methyl-2-[5-(2,4,6-trifluorophenyl)-thiophen-2-yl]-[1,3] dioxolane (15). 2-Bromo-1,3,5-trifluorobenzene (0.211 g, 1 mmol) and 2-methyl-2-thiophen-2-yl-[1,3]dioxolane (0.340 g, 2 mmol) afford 15 in 69% (0.207 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 56−58 $^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.12−3.97 (m, 4H), 1.81 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 161.0 (dt, J = 250.3, 16.0 Hz), 159.8 (ddd, J = 252.0, 14.5, 9.8 Hz), 148.8 (t, J = 3.1 Hz), 128.9 (t, J = 5.2 Hz), 127.9, 124.0, 108.9 (m), 107.2, 100.7 (tm, $J = 28.5$ Hz), 65.0, 27.6. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{14}H_{11}F_3O_2S$ (300.30): C 55.99, H 3.69. Found: C 56.14, H 3.79.

2-[5-(3,5-Difluorophenyl)-thiophen-2-yl]-2-methyl-[1,3] dioxolane (16). 1-Bromo-3,5-difluorobenzene (0.193 g, 1 mmol) and 2-methyl-2-thiophen-2-yl-[1,3]dioxolane (0.340 g, 2 mmol) afford 16 in 69% (0.194 g) yield as a yellow oil: $^1\text{H NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.16 (d, $J = 3.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.08 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.01 (d, $J = 3.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.70 (tt, *J* = 7.8, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.12−3.97 (m, 4H), 1.79 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.3 (dd, *J* = 248.2, 3.1 Hz), 148.4, 141.2 (t, J = 2.9 Hz), 137.4 (t, J = 10.5 Hz), 125.1, 124.2, 108.4 (d, J = 18.9 Hz), 107.0, 102.5 (t, J = 25.5 Hz), 65.0, 27.4. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{14}H_{12}F_2O_2S$ (282.31): C 59.56, H 4.28. Found: C 59.41, H 4.14.

5-(3,5-Difluorophenyl)-2-ethyl-4-methyl-thiazole (17). 1- Bromo-3,5-difluorobenzene (0.193 g, 1 mmol) and 2-ethyl-4 methylthiazole (0.254 g, 2 mmol) afford 17 in 80% (0.191 g) yield as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.92 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.75 (tt, $J = 7.8$, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (q, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 1.39 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.1, 162.9 (dd, J = 249.0, 13.2 Hz), 148.2, 135.5 (t, J = 10.3 Hz), 128.5 (t, J $= 2.8$ Hz), 111.9 (d, $J = 18.8$ Hz), 102.8 (t, $J = 25.3$ Hz), 26.9, 16.2, 14.2. Elemental analysis calcd $(\%)$ for $C_{12}H_{11}F_2NS$ (239.29): C 60.23, H 4.63. Found: C 60.14, H 4.72.

General Procedure for Palladium-Catalyzed Direct Arylations of 1a, 4, 6, 10, and 17. The reaction of the aryl bromide (1.5 mmol), 1a, 4, 6, 10, or 17 (1 mmol) and KOAc (0.196 g, 2 mmol) at 150 °C during 20 h in DMAc (4 mL) in the presence of $PdCl(C_3H_5)(dppb)^{14}$ (12.2 mg, 0.02 mmol) under argon affords the coupling products 18−29 after evaporation of the solvent and purification on si[lic](#page-6-0)a gel. Eluent pentane:diethylether 9:1 for compounds 18, 24; pentane:diethylether 4:1 for compounds 25, 27−29; pentane:diethylether 3:2 for compounds 19, 20, 22, 26; pentane:diethylether 7:3 for compounds 21, 23.

2′,3′,5′,6′-Tetrafluoro-4′-[5-(2-methyl-[1,3]dioxolan-2-yl) thiophen-2-yl]-biphenyl-4-carbaldehyde (18). 2-Methyl-2-[5- (2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-thiophen-2-yl]-[1,3]dioxolane 1a (0.318 g, 1 mmol) and 4-bromobenzaldehyde (0.278 g, 1.5 mmol) afford 18 in 76% (0.321 g) yield as an amorphous white solid: mp 131−132 °C; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.10 (s, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.53 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.14−3.97 (m, 4H), 1.83 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 191.5, 150.9 (m), 144.0 (dm, J = 250.0 Hz, 4C), 136.4, 133.4, 130.9, 130.6 (t, J = 6.3 Hz), 129.7, 127.1 (m), 124.4, 117.2 (m), 114.3 (m), 65.1, 27.6. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{21}H_{14}F_4O_3S$ (422.39): C 59.71, H 3.34. Found: C 59.81, H 3.24.

1-{2′,3′,5′,6′-Tetrafluoro-4′-[5-(2-methyl-[1,3]dioxolan-2-yl) thiophen-2-yl]-biphenyl-3-yl}-ethanone (19). 2-Methyl-2-[5- (2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-thiophen-2-yl]-[1,3]dioxolane 1a (0.318 g, 1 mmol) and 3-bromoacetophenone (0.298 g, 1.5 mmol) afford 19 in 75% (0.327 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 84−86 °C; ¹ H

NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (s, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.14−3.97 (m, 4H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 1.83 $(s, 3H)$; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.3, 150.7, 144.0 (dm, J = 250.0 Hz, 4C), 137.5, 134.6, 130.5 (t, J = 4.0 Hz), 130.1, 129.0, 128.9, 127.9, 127.2 (m), 124.4, 107.1, 65.1, 27.6, 26.6. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{22}H_{16}F_4O_3S$ (436.42): C 60.55, H 3.70. Found: C 60.41, H 3.89.

3-{2,3,5,6-Tetrafluoro-4-[5-(2-methyl-[1,3]dioxolan-2-yl)-thiophen-2-yl]-phenyl}-pyridine (20). 2-Methyl-2-[5-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-thiophen-2-yl]-[1,3]dioxolane 1a (0.318 g, 1 mmol) and 3-bromopyridine (0.237 g, 1.5 mmol) afford 20 in 66% (0.261 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 134–136 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.78 (s, 1H), 8.70 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (dd, J = 7.8, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 7.12 $(d, J = 3.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.12-3.98 \text{ (m, 4H)}, 1.83 \text{ (s, 3H)}; \text{ ¹³C NMR (100) }$ MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.9 (t, J = 3.9 Hz), 150.5, 150.1, 145.3 (dm, J = 250.0 Hz, 4C), 137.4, 130.6 (t, J = 6.1 Hz), 127.0 (m), 124.4, 123.9, 123.5, 115.1 (t, J = 16.8 Hz), 114.4 (t, J = 14.8 Hz), 107.1, 65.1, 27.6. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{19}H_{13}F_4NO_2S$ (395.37): C 57.72, H 3.31. Found: C 57.50, H 3.58.

Ethyl 4′-(2-ethyl-4-methylthiazol-5-yl)-2′,3′,5′,6′-tetrafluoro-biphenyl-4-carboxylate (21). 2-Ethyl-4-methyl-5-(2,3,5,6 tetrafluorophenyl)-thiazole 5 (0.275 g, 1 mmol) and ethyl 4 bromobenzoate (0.343 g, 1.5 mmol) afford 21 in 80% (0.338 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 123−125 °C; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.20 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.42 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 3.06 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 1.48– 1.40 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.3, 165.9, 152.8, 144.2 (dm, $J = 250.0$ Hz, 4C), 131.6, 131.3, 130.2, 129.8, 119.8 (t, $J =$ 16.4 Hz), 113.8, 111.8 (t, $J = 18.3$ Hz), 61.3, 27.0, 16.2, 14.3, 14.0. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{21}H_{17}F_4NO_2S$ (423.42): C 59.57, H 4.05. Found: C 59.60, H 3.89.

4′-(2-Ethyl-4-methylthiazol-5-yl)-2′,3′,5′,6′-tetrafluorobiphenyl-3-carbonitrile (22). 2-Ethyl-4-methyl-5-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-thiazole 5 (0.275 g, 1 mmol) and 3-bromobenzonitrile (0.273 g, 1.5 mmol) afford 22 in 72% (0.271 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 124−126 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.80−7.50 (m, 4H), 3.00 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 1.37 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.4, 152.9, 144.0 (dm, J = 250.0 Hz, 4C), 134.4, 133.6, 132.8, 129.7, 128.6, 118.3 (t, J = 16.2 Hz), 118.0, 113.6, 112.7, 112.5 (t, $J = 17.4$ Hz), 27.0, 16.2, 14.0. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{19}H_{12}F_4N_2S$ (376.37): C 60.63, H 3.21. Found: C 60.47, H 3.02.

2-n-Butyl-5-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-naphthalen-2-ylphenyl) furan (23). 2-n-Butyl-5-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-furan 6 (0.272 g, 1 mmol) and 2-bromonaphthalene (311 g, 1.5 mmol) afford 23 in 66% (0.263 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 98−100 °C; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.03−7.85 (m, 4H), 7.60−7.53 (m, 3H), 6.90 (d, $J = 3.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.21 (d, $J = 3.1$ Hz, 1H), 2.75 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 1.71 (quint., J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.45 (sext., J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 0.96 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.6, 144.9 (dm, J = 250.0 Hz, 2C), 142.5 (dm, J = 250.0 Hz, 2C), 140.6 (m), 133.2, 133.0, 130.0, 128.3, 128.2, 127.7, 127.2, 127.0, 126.5, 124.9, 117.9 (t, $J = 16.8$) Hz), 114.9 (t, $J = 6.7$ Hz), 110.4 (t, $J = 13.8$ Hz), 107.1, 30.0, 27.8, 22.2, 13.8. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{24}H_{18}F_4O$ (398.39): C 72.35, H 4.55. Found: C 72.54, H 4.36.

2-n-Butyl-5-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-3′-nitrobiphenyl-4-yl)-furan (24). 2-n-Butyl-5-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-furan 6 (0.272 g, 1 mmol) and 1-bromo-3-nitrobenzene (0.303 g, 1.5 mmol) afford 24 in 71% (0.279 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 89−⁹¹ °C; ¹ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.40 (s, 1H), 8.32 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.69 (t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.93 (d, $J = 3.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.21 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.71 (quint., J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.45 (sext., J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 0.96 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.1, 148.4, 142.5 (dm, J = 250.0 Hz), 144.8 (d, J = 250.0 Hz), 140.2 (m), 136.1, 129.7, 129.2, 125.3, 123.9, 115.7 (t, J = 6.8 Hz), 115.1 (t, J = 16.8 Hz), 111.7 (t, J = 12.0 Hz), 107.3, 29.9, 27.8, 22.2, 13.8. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{20}H_{15}F_{4}NO_3$ (393.33): C 61.07, H 3.84. Found: C 61.18, H 3.97.

2′,3′,6′-Trifluoro-4′-[5-(2-methyl-[1,3]dioxolan-2-yl)-thiophen-2-yl]-biphenyl-4-carbaldehyde (25). 2-Methyl-2-[5-(2,3,5 trifluorophenyl)-thiophen-2-yl]-[1,3]dioxolane 10 (0.300 g, 1 mmol) and 4-bromobenzaldehyde (0.278 g, 1.5 mmol) afford 25 in 81% (0.327 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 103−105 °C.1 H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- d_6) δ 10.15 (s, 1H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.61 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.58−7.50 (m, 1H), 7.16 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.12−3.97 (m, 4H), 1.75 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, Acetone- d_6) δ 193.5, 156.8 (dm, J = 244.8 Hz), 152.6 (d, J = 4.7 Hz), 150.3 (dm, J = 250.9 Hz), 146.0 (dm, J = 248.0) Hz), 138.7, 135.8, 135.4 (m), 132.9, 131.3, 129.9 (d, J = 5.3 Hz), 126.9, 125.9 (t, $J = 10.2$ Hz), 119.1 (m), 111.2 (d, $J = 27.0$ Hz), 108.6, 66.8, 28.7. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{21}H_{15}F_3O_3S$ (404.40): C 62.37, H 3.74. Found: C 62.18, H 3.60.

3-{2,3,6-Trifluoro-4-[5-(2-methyl-[1,3]dioxolan-2-yl)-thiophen-2-yl]-phenyl}-pyridine (26). 2-Methyl-2-[5-(2,3,5-trifluorophenyl)-thiophen-2-yl]-[1,3]dioxolane 10 (0.300 g, 1 mmol) and 3 bromopyridine (0.237 g, 1.5 mmol) afford 26 in 55% (0.207 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 99−101 °C; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- d_6) δ 8.77 (s, 1H), 8.68 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 7.59–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.16 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.12−3.97 (m, 4H), 1.75 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, Acetone- d_6) δ 152.6 (d, J = 4.7 Hz), 152.3 (m), 151.8, 147.0 (dm, J = 250.9 Hz), 139.3, 137.2 (dm, $J = 250.9$ Hz), 135.3, 129.9 (d, $J = 5.3$ Hz), 126.9, 126.3, 125.9 (t, J = 11.9 Hz), 117.0 (m), 111.1 (d, J = 27.0 Hz), 108.5, 66.8, 28.7. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{19}H_{14}F_3NO_2S$ (377.38): C 60.47, H 3.74. Found: C 60.40, H 3.91.

5-(2,6-Difluoro-4′-nitrobiphenyl-4-yl)-2-ethyl-4-methylthiazole (27). 5-(3,5-Difluorophenyl)-2-ethyl-4-methylthiazole 17 (0.239 g, 1 mmol) and 1-bromo-4-nitrobenzene (0.303 g, 1.5 mmol) afford 27 in 70% (0.252 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 120−122 $^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.33 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, J $= 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.02 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.54 (s, 3H), 1.42 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.6, 159.6 (dd, J = 250.8, 7.8 Hz), 148.7, 147.6, 135.5, 135.4 (t, J = 8.3 Hz), 131.3, 128.1 (t, J = 2.8 Hz), 123.5, 115.0 (t, J = 17.8 Hz), 112.3 (d, J = 19.8 Hz), 26.9, 16.4, 14.2. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{18}H_{14}F_2N_2O_2S$ (360.38): C 59.99, H 3.92. Found: C 60.11, H 3.78.

5-(4′-Chloro-2,6-difluorobiphenyl-4-yl)-2-ethyl-4-methylthiazole (28). 5-(3,5-Difluorophenyl)-2-ethyl-4-methylthiazole 17 (0.239 g, 1 mmol) and 1-bromo-4-chloro-benzene (0.286 g, 1.5 mmol) afford 28 in 77% (0.269 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 67−69 °C; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.46−7.42 (m, 4H), 7.06 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.01 (q, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 2.52 (s, 3H), 1.41 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.2, 159.6 (dd, J = 250.8, 7.8 Hz), 148.4, 134.5, 134.4 (t, J = 8.3 Hz), 131.5, 128.6, 128.4, 127.1, 115.0 (m), 112.2 (d, J = 19.8 Hz), 26.9, 16.4, 14.2. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{18}H_{14}CIF_2NS$ (349.83): C 61.80, H 4.03. Found: C 61.89, H 3.94.

4′-(2-Ethyl-4-methylthiazol-5-yl)-2′,6′-difluorobiphenyl-2 carbonitrile (29). 5-(3,5-Difluorophenyl)-2-ethyl-4-methylthiazole 17 (0.239 g, 1 mmol) and 2-bromobenzonitrile (0.273 g, 1.5 mmol) afford 29 in 76% (0.258 g) yield as an amorphous yellow solid: mp 129−131 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.82 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.60–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.02 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.54 (s, 3H), 1.42 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.5, 159.7 (dd, J = 250.8, 7.8 Hz), 148.7, 135.9 (t, $J = 10.9$ Hz), 133.1, 132.7, 132.5, 131.7, 129.0, 128.2, 117.5, 114.1, 114.0 (t, J = 18.8 Hz), 112.2 (d, J = 19.8 Hz), 26.9, 16.4, 14.2. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{19}H_{14}F_2N_2S$ (340.39): C 67.04, H 4.15. Found: C 67.19, H 4.01.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of compounds 1–29. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: henri.doucet@univ-rennes1.fr.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no competing](mailto:henri.doucet@univ-rennes1.fr) financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the "Chinese Scholarship Council" for a grant to L.C. and to the "Fondation Rennes1" for a master grant to T.Y. We thank CNRS and "Rennes Metropole" for providing financial support. Dedicated to Professor Irina Petrovna Beletskaya for her tremendous contribution to metal-catalyzed reactions.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Ames, D. E.; Opalko, A. Synthesis 1983, 234. (b) Akita, Y.; Inoue, A.; Yamamoto, K.; Ohta, A.; Kurihara, T.; Shimizu, M. Heterocycles 1985, 23, 2327. (c) Ohta, A.; Akita, Y.; Ohkuwa, T.; Chiba, M.; Fukunaga, R.; Miyafuji, A.; Nakata, T.; Tani, N.; Aoyagi, Y. Heterocycles 1990, 31, 1951. (d) Alberico, D.; Scott, M. E.; Lautens, M. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 174. (e) Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Chem. Lett. 2007, 36, 200. (f) Seregin, I. V.; Gevorgyan, V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1173. (g) Li, B.-J.; Yang, S.-D.; Shi, Z.-J. Synlett 2008, 949. (h) Bellina, F.; Rossi, R. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 10269. (i) Ackermann, L.; Vincente, R.; Kapdi, A. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9792. (j) Chen, X.; Engle, K. M.; Wang, D.-H.; Yu, J.-Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5094. (k) Fischmeister, C.; Doucet, H. Green Chem. 2011, 13, 741.

(2) For selected recent contributions on direct arylation or vinylation of heteroaromatics from our laboratory: (a) Derridj, F.; Roger, J.; Djebbar, S.; Doucet, H. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4320. (b) Chen, L.; Roger, J.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H.; Doucet, H. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 1872. (c) Beydoun, K.; Roger, J.; Boixel, J.; Le Bozec, H.; Guerchais, V.; Doucet, H. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 11951. (d) Bensaid, S.; Doucet, H. ChemSusChem 2012, 5, 1559. (e) Chen, L.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H.; Doucet, H. Green Chem. 2012, 14, 1111. (f) Fu, H. Y.; Chen, L.; Doucet, H. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 4473.

(3) For selected examples of palladium-catalyzed direct arylation of heteroaromatics: (a) Kobayashi, K.; Sugie, A.; Takahashi, M.; Masui, K.; Mori, A. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5083. (b) Wang, X.; Gribkov, D. V.; Sames, D. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 1476. (c) Turner, G. L.; Morris, J. A.; Greaney, M. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7996. (d) Chuprakov, S.; Chernyak, N.; Dudnik, A. S.; Gevorgyan, V. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2333. (e) Nakano, M.; Tsurugi, H.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1851. (f) Ackermann, L.; Vincente, R.; Born, R. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 741. (g) Yanagisawa, S.; Ueda, K.; Sekizawa, H.; Itami, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 14622. (h) Schipper, D. J.; Fagnou, K. Chem. Mater. 2011, 23, 1594. (i) Nadres, E. T.; Lazareva, A.; Daugulis, O. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 471.

(4) (a) Mayer, E. A.; Castellano, R. K.; Diederich, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 1210. (b) Crouch, D. J.; Skabara, P. J.; Lohr, J. E.; McDouall, J. J. W.; Heeney, M.; McCulloch, I.; Sparrowe, D.; Shkunov, M.; Coles, S. J.; Horton, P. N.; Hursthouse, M. B. Chem. Mater. 2005, 17, 6567. (c) Muller, K.; Faeh, C.; Diederich, F. Science 2007, 317, 1881. (d) Amii, H.; Uneyama, K. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 2119. (e) Usta, H.; Facchetti, A.; Marks, T. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 501.

(5) For palladium-catalyzed direct arylations of pentafluorobenzene: (a) Lafrance, M.; Rowley, C. N.; Woo, T. K.; Fagnou, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8754. (b) Lafrance, M.; Shore, D.; Fagnou, K. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 5097. (c) Rene, O.; Fagnou, K. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2116. (d) Liu, B.; Wang, Z.; Wu, N.; Li, M.; You, J.; Lan, J. Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18, 1599. (e) Bernhammer, J. C.; Huynh, H. V. Organometallics 2012, 31, 5121.

(6) For palladium-catalyzed direct arylation of tri- or tetrafluorobenzenes: Lapointe, D.; Markiewicz, T.; Whipp, C. J.; Toderian, A.; Fagnou, K. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 749−759 and also refs 5a−c.

(7) For copper-catalyzed direct arylation of pentafluorobenzene: Do, H.-Q.; Daugulis, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 1128.

(8) For oxidative-coupling palladium-catalyzed reactions wih polyfluorobenzenes: (a) Wei, Y.; Su, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 16377. (b) Li, H.; Liu, J.; Sun, C.-L.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 276.

(9) For decarboxylative-coupling palladium-catalyzed reactions wih polyfluorobenzenes: Shang, R.; Xu, Q.; Jiang, Y.-Y.; Wang, Y.; Liu, L. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1000.

(10) Chen, F.; Min, Q.-Q.; Zhang, X. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 2992. (11) Gorelsky, S. I.; Lapointe, D.; Fagnou, K. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 658.

(12) (a) Davies, D. L.; Donald, S. M. A.; Macgregor, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13754. (b) Lafance, M.; Fagnou, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 16496. (c) Lapointe, D.; Fagnou, K. Chem. Lett. 2010, 39, 1118.

(13) For an example of palladium-catalyzed direct heteroarylation of 1-bromo-3,5-difluorobenzene: Ermolat'ev, D. S.; Gimenez, V. N.; Babaev, E. V.; Van der Eycken, E. J. Comb. Chem. 2006, 8, 659.

(14) Cantat, T.; Genin, E.; Giroud, C.; Meyer, G.; Jutand, A. ́ J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 687, 365.